

RICHARD A. WRIGHT, ESQ.
WRIGHT STANISH & WINCKLER
300 South Fourth Street Ste 701
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 382-4004
Attorneys for RAMON DESAGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAMON DESAGE,
aka RAMOND ABI-RACHED,
aka, RAMOND ANTOINE ABI-RACHED,

Defendant.

CASE No: 2:12-MJ-00441-PAL

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY HEARING DATE
(Third Request)

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between DANIEL BOGDEN, United States Attorney, by J. Gregory Damm, and , Richard A. Wright, Esq., counsel for Ramon Desage, that the preliminary hearing of the above captioned matter, currently scheduled for December 27, 2012, be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this Court on or after January 31, 2013.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties met and conferred regarding this case and investigation. An attorney for the defendant, George P. Kelesis, Esq., presented certain information to the government and requested additional time in order to assemble further information

1 to presente to the government.

2 2. The parties seek an opportunity to meet again and discuss the merits of
3 the case prior to indictment. This meeting could result in the resolution of the matter.

4 3. George Kelesis, who has been lead counsel in discussions with the
5 government, had expected to met with government counsel prior to December 7, 2012;
6 however, George Kelesis has serious cardiac medical issues which render him
7 unavailable. George Kelesis is under strict bed rest orders and is scheduled to
8 commence a battery of tests on December 5, 2012, including a nuclear stress test, etc.

9 4. Richard Wright was not and is not prepared to step in for George Kelesis.

10 5. The defendant is not in custody and requests this continuance.

11 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay,
12 but merely to allow the parties an opportunity to resolve this matter short of indictment.
13 Resolving this matter short of indictment will promote judicial efficiency.

14 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a
15 miscarriage of justice, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

16 8. This is the third request for a continuance filed herein.

17 DATED: December 3, 2012

18
19 DANIEL BOGDEN
20 United States Attorney

21 By /SS/
J. GREGORY DAMM

22
23 By /SS/
RICHARD A. WRIGHT, ESQ.
24 Attorneys for Defendant DESAGE
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

RAMON DESAGE,
aka RAMOND ABI-RACHED,
aka, RAMOND ANTOINE ABI-RACHED,

Defendant.

CASE No: 2:12-MJ-00441-PAL

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties met and conferred regarding this case and investigation. An attorney for the defendant, George P. Kelesis, Esq., presented certain information to the government and requested additional time in order to assemble further information to present to the government.

2. The parties seek an opportunity to meet again and discuss the merits of the case prior to indictment. This meeting could result in the resolution of the matter.

3. George Kelesis, who has been lead counsel in discussions with the

1 government, had expected to met with government counsel prior to December 7, 2012;
2 however, George Kelesis has serious cardiac medical issues which render him
3 unavailable. George Kelesis is under strict bed rest orders and is scheduled to
4 commence a battery of tests on December 5, 2012, including a nuclear stress test, etc.

5 4. Richard Wright was not and is not prepared to step in for George Kelesis.

6 5. The defendant is not in custody and requests this continuance.

7 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay,
8 but merely to allow the parties an opportunity to resolve this matter short of indictment.
9 Resolving this matter short of indictment will promote judicial efficiency.

10 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a
11 miscarriage of justice, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

12 8. This is the third request for a continuance filed herein.


13
14 For all of the above reasons, the ends of justice would be served best by a
15 continuance of the preliminary hearing date.

16 *****

17
18 **ORDER**

19 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the preliminary hearing in the matter of United
20 States v. Ramon Desage, 2:12-MJ-00441-PAL, currently scheduled for December 7,
21 2012 at 4:00 pm. be vacated and continued to February 5th, 2013 at the hour of 4:00pm

22 Dated: December 3, 2012

23
24 
25 PEGGY A. LEEN
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28